



MEETING AGENDA

Technical Advisory Committee

Time 10:00 a.m. (PLEASE NOTE TIME)

Date May 20, 2019

Location Riverside County Transportation Commission
4080 Lemon Street, Third Floor
Conference Room A
Riverside, CA 92501

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Lori Askew, City of Calimesa
Armando Baldizzone, City of Blythe
Chad Blais, City of Norco
Bo Chen, City of Palm Desert
K. George Colangeli, PVVTA
John A. Corella, Cathedral City
Victor A. Duran, SunLine Transit Agency
Jesse Eckenroth, City of Rancho Mirage
Brad Fagrell, City of Lake Elsinore
Tom Garcia, City of Palm Desert
Christopher Gray, WRCOG
Remon Habib, City of Lake Elsinore
Jonathan Hoy, City of Coachella
Vacant, City of Beaumont
William Hemsley, City of Eastvale
Rohan Kuruppu, Riverside Transit Agency
David Lee, Caltrans District 8
Steve Loris, City of Jurupa Valley

Martin Magana, CVAG
Chris Mann, City of Canyon Lake
Bryan McKinney, City of La Quinta
Bob Moehling, City of Murrieta
Farshid Mohammadi, City of Riverside **Vice Chair**
Joel Montalvo, City of Palm Springs
Habib Motlagh, Cities of Perris and San Jacinto
Nelson Nelson, City of Corona
Daniel Porras, City of Desert Hot Springs
Patricia Romo, County of Riverside
Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells – **Chair**
Jonathan Smith, City of Menifee
Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula
Art Vela, City of Banning
Robert Vestal, City of Hemet
Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio
Michael Wolfe, City of Moreno Valley
Dan York, City of Wildomar

RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA*

*Actions may be taken on any item listed on the agenda.

TIME: **10:00 A.M.**

DATE: May 20, 2019

LOCATION: Riverside County Transportation Commission
4080 Lemon Street, 3rd Floor
Conference Room A
Riverside, CA 92501

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Government Code Section 54954.2, and the Federal Transit Administration Title VI, please contact the Clerk of the Board at (951) 787-7141 if special assistance is needed to participate in a public meeting, including accessibility and translation services. Assistance is provided free of charge. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to the meeting time will assist staff in assuring reasonable arrangements can be made to provide assistance at the meeting.

1. Call to Order
2. Self-Introductions
3. Approval of September 17, 2018, January 14, 2019, and March 18, 2019 Minutes
4. Public Comments (This is for comments on items not listed on agenda. Comments relating to an item on the agenda will be taken when the item is before the Committee.)
5. Election of Officers
6. Capital Projects Update (Verbal Presentation)
7. SB 821 Recommendations (Attachment)
8. SB 821 Feedback (Verbal Presentation)
9. ATP Cycle 4 Update (Attachment)
10. Federal Obligation Plan Update (Attachment)
11. FTIP Schedule Update (Attachment)
12. Caltrans Update (Verbal Presentation)
13. May Commission Meeting Highlights (Verbal Presentation)
14. Other Announcements

15. Other Business

16. Adjournment

The next meeting will be July 15 at CVAG, Board Room, Palm Desert at **10:30** a.m.

MINUTES
September 17, 2018

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES**

Monday, September 17, 2018

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was called to order by Chair Ken Seumalo at 10:00 a.m. at the Riverside County Transportation Commission, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92501.

2. SELF-INTRODUCTIONS

Members Present:

- Lori Askew, City of Calimesa
- Christopher Gray, WRCOG
- Bryan Jones, City of Eastvale
- David Lee, Caltrans District 8
- Steve Loriso, City of Jurupa Valley
- Martin Magana, CVAG
- Bryan McKinney, City of La Quinta
- Bob Moehling, City of Murrieta
- Farshid Mohammadi, City of Riverside
- Nelson Nelson, City of Corona
- Daniel Porras, City of Desert Hot Springs
- Patricia Romo, Riverside County
- Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells
- Bill Simons, Cathedral City
- Jonathan Smith, City of Menifee
- Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula
- Kristin Warsinski, RTA
- Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio
- Eric Weck, City of Indio
- Michael Wolfe, City of Moreno Valley
- Dan York, City of Wildomar

Others Present:

- Amer Attar, City of Temecula
- Leslie Avila, Caltrans District 8
- Brad Brophy, Cities of Perris and San Jacinto
- Jenny Chan, RCTC
- JD Douglas, HDR
- Marla Dye, RCTC
- Shirley Gooding, RCTC
- Jillian Guizado, RCTC
- Kendra Hannah-Meistrell, City of Temecula
- Eric Lewis, City of Moreno Valley
- Paul Mangaudis, Cathedral City
- Martha Masters, RCTC
- Shirley Medina, RCTC
- Roy Null, County of Riverside

Jason Pack, Fehr & Peers
Sheldon Peterson, RCTC
Ernie Reyna, City of Eastvale
Paul Rodriguez, Rodriguez Consulting Group
Ed Ruehr, VRPA Technologies
Kevin Sin, City of Banning
Chris Tzeng, WRCOG

3. APPROVAL OF MAY 21, 2018 MINUTES

The May 21, 2018 minutes were approved as submitted.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

5. VANPOOL PRESENTATION

Brian Cunanan, RCTC, provided booklets entitled, "Van Club" and "Help is on the Way." He also provided a PowerPoint presentation that included information related to:

- IE Commuter
- Van Club
- IE511
- Call Boxes
- New South County FSP Service Expansion
- Rideshare Week October 1 - 5

6. NEXT GENERATION RAIL STUDY

J. D. Douglas, HDR, provided a PowerPoint presentation entitled, "Next Generation Rail Study." His presentation included:

- Origin and Purpose of the Study
- Potential Corridors for Evaluation
- Potential Technologies for Regional Transit
- Results of Initial Screening
- Detailed Screening: Corridor Advantages and Disadvantages
- Next Steps

He then responded to various questions.

7. SB 1 EDUCATION UPDATE

Cheryl Donahue, RCTC, stated RCTC has been working to create a set of project fact sheets for each city and the county. As of this date, the universal version has been completed as well as fact sheets for 14 of the jurisdictions, which has been posted to RCTC's web site. She invited jurisdictions that have not yet responded to her emails to see her following the TAC meeting.

She further stated that Chris Gray of WRCOG indicated he would provide hard copies of the information for the western cities that request it.

She then responded to questions.

8. REGIONAL LOGISTICS FEE STUDY UPDATE

Martha Masters, RCTC, pointed to Lorelle Moe-Luna's staff report that is included in the agenda. She stated that the Commission is conducting a regional transportation study to evaluate a logistics-related regional fee, including the fee structure and implementing mechanism. The scope of the work includes five main tasks that are 1) existing and future conditions forecast analysis of logistic facilities, 2) funding and cost analysis of potential improvement projects, 3) a nexus study, 4) a fee allocation structure and implanting mechanism, and 5) overall study recommendations.

She announced that a stakeholder workshop will be held Friday, September 28, 9:30 in the Board Chambers at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside. An additional workshop is also being planned. A web page for the study is at www.rctc.org/feestudy and is now accessible for stakeholders to submit comments and review study materials.

Shirley Medina, RCTC, added that the study RCTC is doing is part of a settlement agreement although RCTC is not required to adopt a fee per the settlement agreement. The Commission will review the study and determine whether or not to pursue a logistic fee program based on study results.

9. RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRAFFIC ANALYSIS MODEL (RIVTAM) UPDATE

Martha Masters stated that the RIVTAM has been used by the Commission and local agencies as a tool to evaluate plans, program, and projects to forecast and analyze traffic impacts and land use outcomes. The last RIVTAM was updated in 2009 and is in need of an update in which WRCOG is the lead.

She then introduced Chris Gray, WRCOG, who introduced Jason Pack who reported that the model is being revised, including a new name that is RIVCOM (for Riverside County Model). He provided a PowerPoint presentation outlining the following:

Goals that include:

- Ensure transparency within the model
- Utilize accurate input data
- Focus on Riverside County and surrounding regions
- Develop model that is useful, reliable, and responsive

He requested that all jurisdictions provide all their count data to Christi Byrd, WSP at christi.byrd@wsp.com.

He then responded to various questions.

10. ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM 20-POINT RECOMMENDATION

Ms. Masters stated this item is for discussion and approval of the recommended changes to the ATP MPO county share. She further stated the CTC awards 50 percent of the funds at the statewide competitive level, forty percent to the MPOs, and ten percent to small urban and rural. Of the 40 percent designated for large MPOs, SCAG receives about one-half and distributes the funding in its six-county region by population. Riverside County receives about 12 percent of the SCAG allocation. Per the requirements of the ATP program, MPOs must develop regional program guidelines, which SCAG has done and allows each county transportation commission to assign up to 20 points now (not 10 points as in previous cycles) to the CTC's project scores for projects that, at a minimum, are consistent with local and regional plans.

In April 2014, the Commission approved to assign 10 points to each application if it met the minimum of being consistent with an adopted local or regional plan. A "plan" was defined, but was not limited to include:

- Measure A Expenditure Plan
- SCAG RTP/SCS
- WRCOG or CVAG's Non-Motorized Transportation Plan

Now that three cycles have passed, we've reviewed the existing policy and recommend changes not only to how the additional 20 points will be assigned but also to how ties between projects will be handled.

For ties between projects, staff will work with the local agencies to determine if the funds can be split equally between applicants, without reducing the scope and benefits. If this option is not viable then staff will utilize the tie breaker method that CTC utilizes, which is to fund projects in the following priority order – infrastructure projects, construction readiness, highest score on the highest point value question, then highest score on the second highest point value question (on the plan application, this includes questions 3 and 4). Option B is to keep the 20 points distribution assigned to project consistent with adopted plans or option A where 4 points will be given to projects requesting construction only-funding, 6 points for projects requesting construction funding in the first two years of programming, and 10 points for projects identified within WRCOG's Active Transportation Plan, CVAG's non-motorized plan, or an adopted local active transportation plan, bike or pedestrian master plan, or safe routes to school plan.

The TAC recommended Option A for the 20-point distribution. They also supported the revised policies under the tiebreaker and proposed fund request exceeds amount available policy.

11. 2020 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN/SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY UPDATE

Ms. Masters reported SCAG has begun the development of the 2020 RTP/SCS (Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy). This opportunity comes every four years.

This is your opportunity to make changes to existing projects such as scope of work, update cost estimates (based on the year of expenditure), update project schedules, add or delete projects and mainly model projects. The horizon year for the 2020 RTP/SCS is 2045.

She stated the TAC may recall seeing something similar to this and revising project information/added projects back in June for the RCTC Long Range Transportation Plan. The information has been transferred to the excel spreadsheet for the 2020 RTP/SCS.

An email was sent to everyone last Friday regarding the process and background information. She asked that agencies set up one-on-one meetings or teleconferences with staff to go over the process or call with any questions. The excel spreadsheet with projects is due to RCTC October 5.

12. 2019 FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Jenny Chan, RCTC, stated that this item was previously brought to the TAC in May but we're bringing it again this month because the next administrative modification is due to SCAG on October 23, which means if you need to make any minor adjustments for your project, please let staff know by October 15 so we can prepare the submittal to SCAG. The 2019 FTIP will most likely be approved by mid-December. The 2019 FTIP schedule is attached to the agenda item for your reference. Amendments No. 22 and 23 for the 2017 FTIP were approved on August 30 and 31 respectively.

13. OBLIGATION REPORT FFY 2017/18

Ms. Chan stated that it is the Commission's goal to help obligate 100 percent of its federal apportionment every year. The Commission obligated 57 percent of its obligation authority and it is expected to grow to 165 percent, which means the Riverside County apportionment will not be loaned to another county and will not lose out on the August redistribution of funds from federal highways. She thanked the agencies for working hard to obligate their funds in FFY 2017/18. She thanked Caltrans for being a big part of making this happen. David Lee, Caltrans, reported that California received \$160 million in August redistribution (federal funds not used by other states).

14. OBLIGATION DELIVERY PLAN – FFY 2018/19

Ms. Chan referenced the list of projects programmed for FFY 2018/19 attached to the agenda. She requested the TAC review the list and let staff know if there are any projects that should be on the list. The list is used for monthly meetings with Caltrans to discuss project status and OA delivery. She encouraged the TAC to start their federal aid process early to ensure OA is available to obligate timely.

15. CALTRANS UPDATE

Leslie Avila, Caltrans Local Assistance, reported the following:

- Bicycle Transportation: An Introduction to Planning and Designing
Thursday, November 1, 2018, Caltrans District 8 office. Contact tracy.coan@csus.edu with registration: <http://caatpresources.org/index.cfm?pid=1289>
- SB 1 Workshops for Local Agencies
Register: <http://www.localassistanceblog.com/sb-1workshops-for-locals/>
- E-76 Pilot Program: Project Authorization Adjustment (October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018).
Streamlines the processing of federal authorization and adjustment requests.
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/e76-pilot/index.htm>
- Pilot Invoice (First and Progress)
Streamlines the invoice review process
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/PILOT03/>
- Cooperative Work Agreements (CWA)
The CWA list contains the list of encumbrances that will lapse on June 30, 2019 and are potentially eligible for CWA extensions that can extend budget authority to June 30, 2021.
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/CWA/cwa.htm>
- Inactive Projects
Local agencies are required to submit an invoice at least once every six months. If not, the project will be posted on the department's website. If the department does not receive an invoice in the next five months (11 months without invoicing), the department will work with local agencies to provide proper justification for inactivity (causes beyond the control of the agency such as litigation, unforeseen utility relocations, catastrophic events that delay the project or unforeseen environmental concerns) and establishing time frame for invoicing. The status of inactive projects is updated every one to two weeks. In Riverside County, there are currently three inactive projects (submitted justifications).
- Upcoming CTC Meetings
 - 2018 Preparation Schedule: CTC Meeting
 - October 17-18 Results for off system funds request, program amendments, and time extensions
 - December 5-6 Deadline to submit requests, amendments or extensions is Monday, October 8, 2018
http://dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ctcliaison/Schedules/2018_Calendar/InterNET_2018_Sept2017.pdf
 - 2019 Preparation Schedule: CTC Meeting
 - January 30-31 Deadline to submit requests, amendments, or extensions is Monday, December 3, 2018
http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ctcliaison/Schedules/2019_Calendar/INTERNET_Aug18.pdf

- ATP/SB1 Reporting Requirement
SB1 Accountability and Transparency Guidelines require quarterly project progress reporting for all ATP projects to atpprogressreporting@dot.ca.gov. Agencies are encouraged to submit copies of reports to their MPO or RTPA. The guidelines also require reporting for the Local Partnership Program competitive, Solutions for Congested Corridors Program, and Trade Corridor Enhancement Program projects. The reports are due to SB1.progress.reports@dot.ca.gov. These progress reports are in no way related to the Local Streets and Roads Funding Program – Annual Project Expenditure Report. If you were one of the 537 cities and counties that received FY 2017/18 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Local Streets and Roads Program Funding, you are still required by statute and program guidelines to report directly to the CTC your Annual Project Expenditure Report by October 1.
- Contact information is as follows:
 - ATP – Mary Hartegan mary.hartegan@dot.ca.gov (916) 653-6930
 - LPP – Competitive – Sharon Bertozzi sharon.bertozzi@dot.ca.gov (916) 654-2848
 - SCCP – Leah Shepard leah.shepard@dot.ca.gov (916) 651-6881
 - TCEP – Tony Cano Antonio.cano@dot.ca.gov (916) 651-6880

16. SEPTEMBER COMMISSION MEETING HIGHLIGHTS

Shirley Medina reported:

- Federal Transit Administration Triennial Review Results – no findings
- SB 132 Agreement for McKinley Grade Separation Project was approved
- FY 2018/19 Annual Local Transportation Fund Planning Allocations to Western Riverside Council of Governments and Coachella Valley Association of Governments was approved
- Riverside County Public Transportation: Annual Countywide Performance Report for FY 2016/17 was approved
- Regional Truck and Logistics Mitigation Fee Study and Review of the Draft Nexus Study – workshops will be scheduled later this month and, if needed, in October

17. OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Patty Romo, County of Riverside, reported the Scott Road Interchange has postponed the groundbreaking ceremony this coming Wednesday. It will be sometime next month.

18. OTHER BUSINESS

Shirley Medina reported

- Caltrans is planning a Corridor planning guidebook as a result of the SB 1 congested corridors program.
- Lorelle Moe-Luna was recently appointed Acting Multi-Modal Director.
- Jenny Chan, new RCTC Management Analyst, was formerly employed at Caltrans.

- SCAG Executive Director, Hasan Ikhata was hired as the new Executive Director for the San Diego Association of Governments.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business for consideration by the Technical Advisory Committee, the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:35 a.m. The next meeting will be November 19, 10:30 a.m., at the Coachella Valley Association of Governments, Board Room, 73710 Fred Waring Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Shirley Medina".

Shirley Medina
Planning and Programming Director

MINUTES
January 14, 2019

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES**

Monday, January 14, 2019

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was called to order by Chair Ken Seumalo at 10:00 a.m. at the Riverside County Transportation Commission, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92501.

2. Members Present:

John Corella, Cathedral City
Jesse Eckenroth, City of Rancho Mirage
Carlos Geronimo, City of Menifee
Remon Habib, City of Lake Elsinore
Jeff Hitch, City of Murrieta
Joe Indrawan, City of Eastvale
Steve Loriso, City of Jurupa Valley
Martin Magana, CVAG
Farshid Mohammadi, City of Riverside
Nelson Nelson, City of Corona
Daniel Porras, City of Desert Hot Springs
Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells
Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula
Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio
Michael Wolfe, City of Moreno Valley

Others Present:

Amer Attar, City of Temecula
Brad Brophy, Cities of Perris and San Jacinto
Jenny Chan, RCTC
Shirley Gooding, RCTC
Jillian Guizado, RCTC
Gil Hernandez, City of Riverside
Eric Lewis, City of Moreno Valley
Shirley Medina, RCTC
Jennifer Nguyen, Riverside Transit Agency
Roy Null, County of Riverside
Shannon Smith, Caltrans District 08
Brittany Sowell, SunLine Transit Agency

3. APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 17, 2018 MINUTES

Due to lack of a quorum, approval of the September 17, 2018 minutes was deferred to the next meeting.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

5. SB 1 UPDATE

Shirley Medina, RCTC, reported that the SB 1 repeal was defeated by the voters in November. and we can start working on all the programs. Regions are now preparing for future call for projects for the SB 1 competitive programs, which the CTC is administering - Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (SCCP), Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP), and the Local Partnership Program (LPP). Regarding the SCCP, the CTC adopted the guidelines for preparing multimodal corridor plans. A link to the guidelines is attached in the agenda item. All projects must be identified in these corridor plans to receive SCCP funds. Caltrans is also preparing a guidebook specifically for projects on the state highway system.

She further reported that a joint corridor plan is being developed with the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) and the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), which is funded by a \$500,000 Caltrans grant to develop a multimodal corridor plan for Western Riverside and San Bernardino Counties. It will be prepared to be consistent with the Caltrans corridor plans guidebook and the CTC corridor guidelines. She encouraged talking to Caltrans for anything outside Western Riverside County as Caltrans has some funding it can use to develop corridor plans. Both the CTC and Caltrans' guidelines emphasize partnering with the local agencies.

The CTC is targeting Spring 2020 for future SB 1 competitive calls for projects. The corridor plans would have to be developed by then. RCTC has begun reviewing projects to nominate.

6. NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY (NOFO) FOR INFRASTRUCTURE FOR REBUILDING AMERICA (INFRA) FISCAL YEAR

Jillian Guizado, RCTC, reported that on December 21 RCTC received a notice of funding opportunity for the INFRA program, which was authorized under the five-year transportation bill. This call for projects is for 2019, even though Congress hasn't actually appropriated funds for this program. There is about \$900 million available nationwide for the call. The projects should be regionally or nationally significant – any significant highway project is what they're looking for. There's a relatively low threshold for the amount of funding for local or state funds that must be brought to the project. However, the more local match, the more competitive a project will be. FHWA is looking for accountability measures and economic vitality, safety and technology. The applications are due to FHWA on March 4.

RCTC will be submitting a bundle, which will be three projects on the SR-91 corridor, including the 71/91 Interchange Improvement Project, the 15/91 Express Lanes Connector, and the new 91 Corridor Operations Project. The notice of funding opportunity was posted in the Federal Register and you can Google search USDOT INFRA to get to the webpage.

Jillian responded to various questions.

7. ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM CYCLE 4

Jenny Chan, RCTC, reported that the CTC released its draft recommendations for ATP Cycle 4 on December 28. In the Riverside region, 34 projects were submitted requesting \$138 million of ATP funds. CTC is recommending five projects for award in Riverside County totaling \$19 million, which is about nine percent of the statewide pot. The list of awarded projects is attached to the staff report.

Page two of the TAC report lists the SCAG region's share of ATP MPO funding. Riverside is slated to receive \$10.3 million but this number has since been updated to \$11.5 million. Based on SCAG ATP guidelines, only 95 percent or \$10.9 million can go towards infrastructure projects and the remaining five percent is set aside for non-infrastructure and planning projects.

Based on the 20-point distribution methodology the board adopted in November and recommended by this TAC in September, staff assigned the 20 points to the remaining projects. The city of Palm Desert received the highest score at 106 and the city of Moreno Valley received the second highest score at 105 points.

Shirley Medina stated the ATP MPO projects for Riverside County will be formally approved at the March Commission meeting. SCAG will compile the distribution of points amongst the other counties and present it to its board in April. The SCAG ATP MPO project accommodations will then go to the CTC for final approval at its June 26, 2019 meeting.

8. FFY 2018/19 DELIVERY – OBLIGATION PLAN

Ms. Chan stated that the draft obligation plan for 2018/19 is attached to her staff report in the TAC agenda. She said that each month, staff meets with Caltrans Local Assistance to discuss project delivery status and to ensure timely obligation of CMAQ and STBG funds. She asked to be informed if any agency encounters a schedule slip.

9. 2019 FTIP Update

Jenny reported that Administrative Modification 19-02 was approved on December 20. The next submittal to SCAG is Administrative Modification 19-04, which is due to RCTC on February 12.

Formal Amendment 19-03 was due to SCAG last Tuesday, January 8. RCTC submitted 25 projects for a formal amendment. With the federal shutdown, FTA and EPA are also shut down and cannot approve projects that require air quality conformity analysis. Thus far, we have three projects that would require EPA approval. They are:

- Coachella's Avenue 50
- La Quinta's Dune Palms
- City of Riverside's Magnolia Boulevard

SCAG informed RCTC that they will continue to prepare Amendment 19-03 with these projects in case the federal government reopens. If the shutdown does not end in a timely manner, projects needing conformity will be removed from 19-03.

10. CALTRANS UPDATE

Caltrans provided a document highlighting Local Assistance Updates, including:

- Active Transportation Program Cycle 4
- Highway Safety Improvement Program Cycle 9
- Earmark Repurposing 2016 Update
- Office Bulletin: DLA-OB 18-03 – Authorization/Adjustment Requests
- Southern California Local Assistance Management Meeting
- Right of Way Workshop
- Local Assistance Staff Assignments

11. JANUARY COMMISSION MEETING HIGHLIGHTS

John Standiford, RCTC, reported that RCTC's new Chairman is Supervisor Chuck Washington. Action was taken on the following items:

- Legislative platform was adopted.
- Approved construction contract for the SR-60 truck climbing lane starting this summer to add a lane in each direction through the Badlands. The award is going to Skanska for about \$96 million. The second phase of the project will have significant impacts on east-west travel. There will be a long term closure of one of the two westbound lanes to allow the construction equipment to get in and actually build some of the widening.
- Approved mid-year revenue projections on Measure A and state funding as well as set projections for the following fiscal year.

12. COMMISSION WORKSHOP ANNOUNCEMENT

Mr. Standiford reported RCTC's annual workshop January 31 and February 1 at the Temecula Creek Inn, Temecula. The workshop essentially establishes priorities and direction for the coming year.

13. OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Tim Wassil reported that the city of Indio will be hiring an associate engineer if anyone is interested.

Shirley Medina stated that comments are due February 5 on the Caltrans Corridor Planning Guidebook. She also stated that RCTC will comment and she will share the comments.

14. OTHER BUSINESS

Ken Seumalo announced that elections of a TAC new chairman will be held at the next meeting. The Chair will be someone from Western Riverside County and the Vice Chair will be from Eastern Riverside County.

15. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business for consideration by the Technical Advisory Committee, the meeting adjourned at approximately 10:58 a.m. The next meeting will be March 18, 10:30 a.m., at the Coachella Valley Association of Governments, Board Room, 73710 Fred Waring Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Shirley Medina".

Shirley Medina
Planning and Programming Director

MINUTES
March 18, 2019

**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
MINUTES**

Monday, March 18, 2019

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was called to order by Chair Ken Seumalo at 10:30 a.m. at the Coachella Valley Association of Governments, 73710 Fred Waring Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260.

2. Members Present:

Lori Askew, City of Calimesa
Amer Attar, City of Temecula
John Corella, Cathedral City
Jesse Eckenroth, City of Rancho Mirage
Remon Habib, City of Lake Elsinore
Joe Indrawan, City of Eastvale
Eric Lewis, City of Moreno Valley
Steve Loriso, City of Jurupa Valley
Martin Magana, CVAG
Bob Moehling, City of Murrieta
Daniel Porras, City of Desert Hot Springs
Ken Seumalo, City of Indian Wells
Patrick Thomas, City of Temecula
Art Vela, City of Banning
Timothy T. Wassil, City of Indio
Dan York, City of Wildomar

Others Present:

Brad Brophy, Cities of Perris and San Jacinto
Vicki Castaneda, SunLine Transit Agency
Jenny Chan, RCTC
Tom Garcia, City of Palm Desert
Shirley Gooding, RCTC
Aaron Hake, RCTC
Darren Henderson, WSP
Lorelle Moe-Luna, RCTC
Roy Null, County of Riverside
Jeff Stine, VRPA Technologies

3. APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 17, 2018 AND JANUARY 14, 2019 MINUTES

Due to lack of a quorum, approval of the September 17, 2018 and January 14, 2019 minutes was deferred to the next meeting.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

5. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Due to lack of a quorum, election of officers was deferred to the next meeting.

6. LOGISTICS FEE STUDY

Lorelle Moe-Luna, RCTC, introduced Darren Henderson, WSP, who provided an update of the Regional Logistics Fee Study. The PowerPoint presentation is included in the Agenda packet.

Ms. Moe-Luna reported that two stakeholder workshops were conducted September 28, 2018 and December 7, 2018. There were 42 attendees at both workshops and Mr. Henderson responded to questions and comments. She stated the meeting materials are available online at RCTC.org/fee-study, and the Fee Nexus Study will be taken to the Commission in May 2019 for approval.

7. ATP CYCLE 4 MPO PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

Jenny Chan, RCTC, reported four ATP projects were approved by the Commission for ATP MPO funding for a total of \$10.9M.

- Palm Desert San Pablo sidewalk Project
- Riverside County El Toro Safe Routes to School sidewalk Project
- Lake Elsinore Murrieta Creek Trail Project
- Riverside County Public Health Department Safe Routes to School Project in Corona

The next step is to forward the above projects to SCAG for inclusion in the SCAG ATP MPO project recommendations for the SCAG region, then forward to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for final approval at its meeting in May 2019. Upon CTC approval, agencies can start working with Caltrans Local Assistance to request Federal Project Numbers.

8. FY 2019/20 SB 821 CALL FOR PROJECTS

Ms. Chan stated the SB 821 call for projects was released on February 4. Applications are due April 25 at 5:00 p.m. to RCTC. RCTC is accepting only hard copies this year. \$3.8 M is available to fund pedestrian and bicycle projects. The projects cannot benefit roadway users. There will not be any workshops; however, RCTC will have one-on-one sessions by appointment. April 19 will be the last day of the one-on-one sessions.

9. FTIP SCHEDULE UPDATE

Jenny reported that SCAG updated its FTIP schedule because it had an emergency amendment for SBCTA and VCTC. The new schedule is in the current agenda. The next due date to submit to SCAG is the formal amendment, which is on April 19. RCTC will need it on April 2 in order to prepare a package for SCAG.

10. SB-1 FUNDING PROGRAM MARCH 12TH WORKSHOP UPDATE

Jenny said the CTC kicked off its first workshop for the SB 1 funding programs last Thursday. They talked about Solutions for Congested Corridors (SCCP), Local Partnership Program (LPP), and the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP). The meeting materials are included in this agenda. The next workshop will be in Sacramento March 21. She stated that agencies are welcome to call in to participate. The webinar focused on how to quantify project benefits. The CTC is soliciting recommendations.

The CTC proposed a tentative schedule for these three programs. For the SCCP and LPP, the guidelines are to be completed by October this year with the applications due in January 2020. For the TCEP, the CTC is expecting the guidelines to be completed by January 2020 with applications due March 2020, although that has not been finalized yet.

11. #REBOOTMYCOMMUTE

Aaron Hake, RCTC, reported RCTC is seeking the public's feedback from now to June surveying which improvements they would like to see on the freeways, transit system, and anywhere in their cities. The goal is to collect data to be used as part of RCTC's effort to prioritize the next decade of funding.

When RCTC receives feedback, staff may be reaching out to the cities to assist in answering particular questions or comments received.

12. CALTRANS UPDATE

Caltrans updates are provided in the agenda packet. Ms. Chan reminded agencies SCLAMM would be held on Wednesday, March 20, 2019. Lastly, she reminded agencies that Form LAPM 3A will be mandatory as of April 1, 2019 for all obligations and cost adjustments.

13. JANUARY COMMISSION WORKSHOP HIGHLIGHTS

Mr. Hake reported Commission approval for the following:

- Revisit transit policies
- Approval to commit surplus toll revenue for the I-15/SR-91 Express Lanes Connector in Corona to make sure it is funded so the design-build phase may begin. June 30, 2023 is the deadline to liquidate all the funds the state has appropriated.

- An Ad Hoc Committee has been established to explore the following:
 - Update of the Measure A Expenditure Plan
 - New 10-year Western County Highway Delivery Plan
 - Potential 2020 Measure to supplement Measure A
 - Innovative Planning
- PSRs on three new Express Lanes projects
 - SR-91 between I-15 and the big interchange in Downtown Riverside
 - SR-60 from I-15 going to the big interchange in Downtown Riverside
 - SR-60/I-215 from the big interchange through Moreno Valley and I-215 to approximately Van Buren

14. OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no other announcements.

15. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

16. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business for consideration by the Technical Advisory Committee, the meeting adjourned at approximately 11:30 a.m. The next meeting will be May 20, 10:30 a.m., at the Riverside County Transportation Commission, 4080 Lemon Street, Third Floor, Conference Room A, Riverside, CA 92501.

Respectfully submitted,



Shirley Medina
Planning and Programming Director

AGENDA ITEM 6

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 6.

AGENDA ITEM 7

RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 SB 821 BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN PROGRAM
 FY 2019 CALL FOR PROJECTS
 FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

Agency	Project Name	Total Project Cost	SB 821 Funds		Recommended Allocation	Score
			Requested			
Menifee	Paloma Wash Trail	\$ 999,530	\$ 499,765	\$	499,765	45.00
Riverside County	Mecca Curb Ramp Accessibility Project (District 4)	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$	250,000	42.33
Desert Hot Springs	DHS Bike Lanes and ADA Improvements	\$ 630,906	\$ 330,906	\$	330,906	42.00
Moreno Valley	Cactus Avenue/Redwing Drive Ped Hybrid Beacon	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$	200,000	42.00
Wildomar	Palomar Street/Clinton Keith Road	\$ 781,540	\$ 275,000	\$	275,000	41.50
Menifee	Scott Road/Menifee Road Sidewalk	\$ 366,526	\$ 183,263	\$	183,263	41.50
Eastvale	65th Street Bicycle and Pedestrain Safety Enhancement	\$ 700,000	\$ 350,000	\$	350,000	41.50
Riverside County	Carver Tract Sidewalk Safety Improvement - Leyte Avenue, Corregidor Avenue, Lingayen Avenue, and Luzon Street (District 4)	\$ 1,150,000	\$ 575,000	\$	575,000	41.33
Palm Springs	Sidewalk Gap Closure Improvements - Sunny Dunes Road	\$ 293,000	\$ 146,500	\$	146,500	41.00
Riverside	Adair Avenue Sidewalk	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000	\$	150,000	39.00
Riverside	Bonita Avenue Sidewalk	\$ 420,000	\$ 210,000	\$	210,000	39.00
Riverside County	El Nido Avenue Sidewalk Safety Improvement Project - Orange Avenue to Placentia Avenue (District 5)	\$ 1,282,481	\$ 731,481	\$	731,481	38.50
UNFUNDED						
Cathedral City	Cathedral Canyon Drive Sidewalk Gap Closure	\$ 451,000	\$ 338,400			38.33
Cathedral City	Gerald Ford Drive Bike Lanes Project - Date Palm Drive and Da Vall Drive	\$ 27,400	\$ 21,920			38.00
Moreno Valley	Heacock Street south of Gregory Lane Sidewalk Improvements	\$ 650,000	\$ 520,000			38.00
Perris	Ruby Drive & Redlands Ave Ped Improvements	\$ 320,000	\$ 100,000			37.67
La Quinta	Washington Street at Ave 50 and Calle Tampico Sidewalk and ADA Improvements	\$ 195,400	\$ 97,700			37.33
Perris	North A Street Sidewalk Improvement	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000			36.33
Lake Elsinore	Joy Street Sidewalk Project	\$ 121,777	\$ 60,889			36.00
Lake Elsinore	Colier Avenue Bike Lane	\$ 52,000	\$ 26,000			36.00
Riverside County	Mayberry Avenue Sidewalk Safety Improvement - Peartreet Lane to Casino Road (District 3)	\$ 544,500	\$ 408,375			36.00
Riverside	Cleta Drive & Thrush Drive Improvements	\$ 340,000	\$ 170,000			35.50
Lake Elsinore	Machado Street Sidwalk Project	\$ 213,318	\$ 106,659			35.33
Rancho Mirage	missing link sidewalk	\$ 639,964	\$ 319,982			35.00
San Jacinto	Lyon Avenue Sidewalk Installation	\$ 120,000	\$ 60,000			35.00
Lake Elsinore	Lincoln Street Bike Lanes	\$ 25,000	\$ 12,500			34.67
Riverside County	Tolton Avenue Sidewalk Safety Improvement Project - Grant Street to Truman Street (District 2)	\$ 390,000	\$ 310,000			34.50
Temecula	Ynez Road Sidewalk Improvement	\$ 125,000	\$ 91,000			34.00
Hemet	Kirby Street Improvements	\$ 149,775	\$ 124,775			33.50
Jurupa Valley	Etiwanda Avenue & Wineville Bike Lane Striping Project	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000			33.50
Cathedral City	Perez Road Sidewalk Gap Closure	\$ 508,000	\$ 508,000			32.67
Lake Elsinore	Avenue East Sidwalk Project	\$ 234,139	\$ 117,070			31.33
Lake Elsinore	Avenues West Sidewalk Project	\$ 224,129	\$ 112,065			31.33
San Jacinto	Cottonwood Avenue Ped Improvement Project	\$ 600,000	\$ 90,000			30.00
Riverside County	La Sierra Avenue Sidewalk Safety Improvement Project - Orchard View Lane to Carrara Court (District 1)	\$ 230,000	\$ 115,000			22.00
TOTAL		\$ 14,335,385	\$ 7,837,250	\$	3,901,915	

Coachella Valley			
Number of Applications		9	
Total Funding Request	\$	2,588,408	
Number of Funded Applications	\$	4	
Funding Received	\$	1,302,406	33%
Western Riverside			
Number of Applications		26	
Total Funding Request	\$	5,248,842	
Number of Funded Applications	\$	8	
Funding Received	\$	2,599,509	67%
Riverside Region			
Total Number of Applications		35	
Total Funding Request	\$	7,837,250	
Number of Funded Applications		12	
Funding Available	\$	3,901,915	

AGENDA ITEM 8

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 8.

AGENDA ITEM 9

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: May 15-16, 2019

From: SUSAN BRANSEN, Executive Director

Reference Number: 4.21, Action

Prepared By: Anja Aulenbacher,
Assistant Deputy Director

Published Date: May 3, 2019

Subject: **Adoption of the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component – 10 of 10 Large Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Resolution G-19-12**

Issue:

Should the California Transportation Commission (Commission) adopt the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization component – 10 of 10 large Metropolitan Planning Organizations?

Recommendation:

Commission staff recommends that the Commission:

1. Adopt the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component for Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG), Kern Council of Governments (KCOG), Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Sacramento Council of Governments (SACOG), San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG), Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG), Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization (TMPO) and Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) in accordance with the attached resolution and programming spreadsheet. Commission staff recommendations correspond with the Metropolitan Planning Organization recommendations.
2. Authorize Commission staff to make any specific technical changes, corrections or exceptions to Commission staff recommendations, with a report of any substantive changes back to the Commission for approval at a subsequent Commission meeting.

Background:

In summary, Commission staff recommends that the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component funds totaling \$174,885,000 for all ten large Metropolitan Planning Organizations be programmed to 59 projects valued at \$331,474,000.

- \$165,147,000 (94 percent of \$174,885,000) to 53 projects benefiting disadvantaged communities.
- \$95,599,000 (55 percent of \$174,885,000) to 40 Safe Routes to School projects.
- 31 of the 59 projects are designated for state only funding.

The Commission's adoption of the 2019 Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization Component is not authorization to begin work on a project. Contracts may not be awarded nor may work begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program.

On September 26, 2013, the Governor signed legislation creating the Active Transportation Program (Senate Bill 99, Chapter 359 and Assembly Bill 101, Chapter 354). Senate Bill 1, signed by the Governor on April 28, 2017, directs an additional \$100 million annually from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account to the Active Transportation Program beginning in Fiscal Year 2017-18. Per legislation, a minimum of 25 percent of all 2019 Active Transportation Program funds must benefit disadvantaged communities.

The Commission adopted the Fund Estimate and the program guidelines for the 2019 Active Transportation Program on May 16, 2018. The 2019 Active Transportation Program includes four years of programming, 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22, and 2022-23, with \$445,560,000 in funding capacity for the following program components:

- Statewide (50 percent or \$218,780,000)
- Small Urban & Rural (10 percent or \$43,756,000)
- Metropolitan Planning Organizations (40 percent or \$175,024,000)
- California Conservation Corps (\$8,000,000)

The Commission staff recommendations are based on consistency with the Active Transportation Program Metropolitan Planning Organization competitive program project selection criteria set forth in the 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines (Section 19, Metropolitan Planning Organization Competitive Project Selection) and the following:

- Funding levels identified in the 2019 Active Transportation Program Fund Estimate.
- Eligibility for the program.
- Metropolitan Planning Organization multidisciplinary advisory group scores.
- Statutory requirements.

The Commission adopted the 2019 Active Transportation Program Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components on January 30, 2019. Projects not programmed in the Statewide competitive component were distributed to the ten large Metropolitan Planning Organizations based on location.

Metropolitan Planning Organization Evaluation Process

All applications considered by the ten large Metropolitan Planning Organizations were submitted through the statewide competitive program. Per the 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines, a Metropolitan Planning Organization may delegate its project selection to the Commission using the statewide competition project selection criteria; or, with Commission approval, a Metropolitan Planning Organization may use different project selection criteria, weighting, minimum project size, and match requirement.

The Commission approved amendments to the 2019 Active Transportation Program guidelines allowing different project selection criteria for the following Metropolitan Planning Organizations: Fresno Council of Governments, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Sacramento Area Council of Governments, San Diego Association of Governments, Southern California Association of Governments, Tulare County Association of Governments, and Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization.

The Kern Council of Governments, Stanislaus Council of Governments, and the San Joaquin Council of Governments did not propose regional 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines.

Metropolitan Planning Organization Program of Projects - Examples

The Metropolitan Planning Organization program recommendations include active transportation projects that will provide significant benefits. Examples include:

Fresno Council of Governments

- City of Fresno – Connecting the Winchell Neighborhood, Butler/8th and Orange/Lowe Signals Project will install two traffic signals, pedestrian countdown equipment, sidewalks, curb ramps, and gutters. The City has been working to improve active transportation facilities in this neighborhood for the last several years as part of the "Restore Fresno" effort which aims to improve health, safety and infrastructure through close collaboration with the community. This project will result in improved safety for non-motorized users so that they can travel by foot, bike, or mobility device comfortably and reliably, and more parents will allow their children to walk to school.
- Fresno County – West Park Pedestrian Pathway Project will develop a four-foot wide asphalt concrete walking and biking path between the community of West Park and the West Park Elementary School. The path will provide a safe active transportation route; benefits will include decreased risk of traffic-related injuries, increased active

transportation usage, reduction in greenhouse gas emissions through reduced reliance on motorized vehicles, and increased sense of place for the community.

Kern Council of Governments

- City of Bakersfield – Friant-Kern Canal Multi-Use Path Project will construct a six-mile Class I multi-use path along the Friant-Kern Canal within a 25-foot easement. The Project creates a regional active transportation corridor along the Friant-Kern Canal that connects to an existing east/west multi-use path (32 miles) along the Kern River Parkway. The project substantially transforms the active transportation network in Bakersfield and adds regional connectivity throughout Kern County.

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

- San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency – 6th Street Pedestrian Safety Project will substantially improve safety and livability in San Francisco's most dense and diverse neighborhood. The project includes sidewalk widening, upgraded traffic signals, improved signal timing, reduced crossing distances, new pedestrian-scale lighting, and landscaping/street furniture upgrades. This project will transform 6th Street and reduce the high numbers of pedestrian collisions and injuries.
- City of San Jose – Willow-Keyes Complete Street Improvements Project will enhance safety for people walking and biking by constructing complete street elements such as a Class IV protected bike lane, new sidewalk, and curb extensions. The improvements will provide continuous, safe, and comfortable facilities for people of all ages and abilities. Pedestrians and bicyclists will benefit from a safe, calm, comfortable roadway, encouraging more walking and biking.

Sacramento Council of Governments

- City of Sacramento – Broadway Complete Streets Project - Phase 2 will complete the two-mile-long corridor mobility improvements which aim to rebalance space for all modes on the Broadway corridor by reducing the number of travel lanes, installing bike facilities, and constructing pedestrian improvements. This project closes a critical gap in the bikeway network and improves pedestrian facilities, which will make active transportation and transit a more attractive and viable option.
- Yuba County – Cedar Lane Elementary Safe Routes to Schools Project will provide safe bicycle and pedestrian access to Cedar Lane Elementary School, transit facilities, and downtown Linda. The project promotes active transportation, decreases vehicle speeds, decreases the number of motorized vehicle trips, increases bicycle and pedestrian trips, improves air quality, and improves public health. The project will also provide pedestrian and bicycle education for parents and students from educators at WALKSacramento.

San Diego Association of Governments

- San Diego Association of Governments – University Bikeway Project consists of a road diet, removal of free-right slip lanes, separated bike lanes, buffered bike lanes, protected intersections, signal-protected crossings, new/enhanced pedestrian crossings, curb extensions, speed cushions, bikeway markings, and other high-visibility treatments. Expected benefits include a dramatic drop in the level of traffic stress, reduced speeds, increased protection from vehicle traffic, increased crossings, shorter crossings, greater visibility, and traffic calming.
- City of Escondido – Escondido Creek Trail Transit Center Bicycle Path Improvements Project will increase active transportation connectivity by improving approximately 1.6 miles of underutilized Class I bike trail and close several bike/pedestrian gaps along the trail. This project will add lighting, pedestrian signals, crosswalks, ramps, and signage to two intersections.

San Joaquin Council of Governments

- San Joaquin County – Oro Avenue and Section Avenue Sidewalk Improvements Project will install sidewalks, curbs, and gutters on approximately 1,900 feet of Oro Avenue, as well as approximately 1,100 feet of Section Avenue. Seven new curb ramps will be installed, allowing easier access for those walking or using wheeled apparatus. The addition of sidewalks, curb ramps, and a beacon in this area addresses a need to connect students to nearby schools and community members to transit stops, stores, markets and childcare facilities.
- City of Stockton – Safe Routes to School Sidewalk Gap Closure Project will install curbs, gutters, and sidewalks to close sidewalk gaps along routes to schools surrounding five school sites. Minimum five feet wide sidewalks, bulb-outs, pedestrian lighting, school signage, compliant ADA curb ramps, and where necessary, a pedestrian gate and high visibility crosswalks will be installed to provide protection and increase safety for students walking to school. The anticipated benefit of this project is an increase in safety for students which will lead to an increase in the number of students who use these new or upgraded facilities. Additionally, the City anticipates an improvement to public health and reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

Southern California Association of Governments

- City of Ontario – Pedestrian Improvements around Haynes, Vista Grande, and Oaks Schools Project will complete a pedestrian network by installing missing sidewalks, replacement ADA curb ramps, truncated domes on existing ADA curb ramps, street lights, enhanced crosswalks, and shade trees. In addition, an education and encouragement non-infrastructure component is included to encourage children to walk to school. Upon completion of the project, residents will have improved pedestrian access to local schools, parks, commercial centers, and transit stops, and

programs to encourage behavior change resulting in more residents walking to local destinations and walking for the positive health benefits.

- Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (Engineering Division) – Broadway-Manchester Active Transportation Equity Project will construct a separated, Class IV cycle track, continental crosswalks, a center median pedestrian refuge island, sidewalk improvements, curb extensions, upgraded access ramps, pedestrian signals, and more. Each of these measures will work to slow speeding vehicles on the corridor and increase pedestrian and bicyclist safety. The project benefits include enhanced safety and mobility for active transportation users, a rise in trips taken by pedestrians and bicyclists and an increase in public health outcomes for residents due to an increase in active transportation activities.

Stanislaus Council of Governments

- Stanislaus County – Bret Harte Elementary Safe Crossing and Active Transportation Connectivity Project will install new sidewalks, Class III bicycle routes, and bike racks. Improvements created by this project to help support student safety to and from Bret Harte Elementary School and Evelyn Hanshaw Middle School include high visibility crosswalks adjacent to the school site, and various safety improvements and enhancements which create an overall improved bicycle and pedestrian network throughout the neighborhood. This project will result in a safer environment for students and community members, increase access to various local destinations, improve safety, and provide greater regional access.

Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization

- City of South Lake Tahoe – Lake Tahoe Boulevard Class I Bicycle Trail Project will construct a lit Class I bike trail that will parallel Lake Tahoe Boulevard, allowing commuters and recreational users to travel between the city and county areas without ever leaving the safety of a Class I bike path. The benefits of this project include closing a trail gap, completing a safe route to the South Tahoe High School, and providing a connection to a transit center and various shopping areas.

Tulare County Association of Governments

- City of Woodlake – North Valencia Boulevard Safe Routes to School Extension, Gap Improvements Project will extend previously built improvements and close a gap on North Valencia. The improvements include curbs, gutters, sidewalks, ADA compliant ramps, street lighting, crosswalks, and Class II bike lanes along North Valencia Boulevard. This project benefits students and the community at large by providing much needed infrastructure in a disadvantaged community, creating a safer active transportation corridor, and increasing walking and biking. Additional benefits will be healthier citizens, cleaner management of storm water, and reduction in emissions.

Programming Recommendations

The following table summarizes the proposed programming recommendations for the ten Metropolitan Planning Organizations (dollar amounts are in \$1,000's):

Metropolitan Planning Organization	# Proj.	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	Total	Fund Est. Target	Under (Over) Target
FCOG	9	\$392	\$1,584	\$450	\$2,346	\$4,772	\$4,772	\$0
KCOG	1	\$0	\$4,306	\$0	\$0	\$4,306	\$4,306	\$0
MTC	6	\$12,987	\$10,757	\$0	\$12,926	\$36,670	\$36,670	\$0
SACOG	7	\$3,427	\$3,335	\$4,902	\$0	\$11,664	\$11,666	\$2
SANDAG	4	\$1,381	\$747	\$8,561	\$5,185	\$15,874	\$15,874	\$0
SCAG	25	\$20,331	\$20,896	\$22,198	\$29,147	\$92,572	\$92,572	\$0
SJCOG	2	\$565	\$745	\$1,184	\$1,020	\$3,514	\$3,514	\$0
StanCOG	2	\$99	\$0	\$0	\$2,402	\$2,501	\$2,638	\$137
TCAG	2	\$980	\$263	\$0	\$1,025	\$2,268	\$2,268	\$0
TMPO	1	\$0	\$744	\$0	\$0	\$744	\$744	\$0
Totals:	59	\$40,162	\$43,377	\$37,295	\$54,051	\$174,885	\$175,024	\$139
Cumulative Fund Estimate Capacity		\$38,400	\$38,400	\$49,112	\$49,112	\$175,024		
Cumulative Under (Over) Fund Estimate		\$(1,762)	\$(4,977)	\$11,817	\$(4,939)	\$139		

The following table shows the amount of funding per Metropolitan Planning Organization that benefits disadvantaged communities (dollar amounts are in \$1,000's):

Metropolitan Planning Organization	# DAC Projects	Total DAC Projects Programmed	Fund Est. Target	Under (Over) Target
FCOG	9	\$4,772	\$1,194	\$(3,578)
KCOG	1	\$4,306	\$1,076	\$(3,230)
MTC	6	\$36,670	\$9,166	\$(27,504)
SACOG	3	\$8,270	\$2,916	\$(5,354)
SANDAG	4	\$15,874	\$3,970	\$(11,904)
SCAG	23	\$86,228	\$23,144	\$(63,084)
SJCOG	2	\$3,514	\$880	\$(2,634)
StanCOG	2	\$2,501	\$660	\$(1,841)
TCAG	2	\$2,268	\$566	\$(1,702)
TMPO	1	\$744	\$186	\$(558)
Totals:	53	\$165,147	\$43,758	\$(121,389)

Attachments:

- Attachment A: Resolution G-19-12
- Attachment B: 2019 Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization Component Project Recommendations
- Attachment C: 2019 Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization Submittals

**CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
Adoption of the 2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component**

RESOLUTION G-19-12

- 1.1 **WHEREAS**, Streets and Highways Code Section 2384 requires the California Transportation Commission (Commission) to adopt a program of projects to receive allocations under the Active Transportation Program; and
- 1.2 **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Section 2384, the 2019 Active Transportation Program is a four-year program covering program years 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23; and
- 1.3 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted 2019 Active Transportation Program Guidelines at the May 2018 meeting; and
- 1.4 **WHEREAS**, the 2019 Active Transportation Program Fund Estimate provided \$445,560,000 in Active Transportation Program programming capacity to be apportioned to the Statewide (50 percent), Small Urban and Rural (10 percent) and Metropolitan Planning Organization (40 percent) Components and the California Conservation Corps (\$8,000,000); and
- 1.5 **WHEREAS**, the Commission adopted the 2019 Active Transportation Program Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components at the January 2019 meeting; and
- 1.6 **WHEREAS**, the total amount programmed may not exceed the amount specified in the adopted Fund Estimate; and
- 1.7 **WHEREAS**, the Commission staff recommendations conform to the Fund Estimate and other requirements of statute for the Active Transportation Program; and
- 1.8 **WHEREAS**, the Metropolitan Planning Organizations have designated projects to receive state only funding.

- 2.1 **NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Commission hereby adopts the 2019 Active Transportation Program, Metropolitan Planning Organization Component (10 of 10 Metropolitan Planning Organizations), to include the program described in the Commission staff recommendations, including the attachments to this resolution; and
- 2.2 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that having a project included in the adopted 2019 Active Transportation Program, Metropolitan Planning Organization Component, is not authorization to begin work on that project. Contracts may not be awarded, nor work begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program; and
- 2.3 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Metropolitan Planning Organizations have designated projects to receive state only funding and if a Metropolitan Planning Organization wishes to change the designation to another project, they must notify the Commission and the California Department of Transportation's

(Department) Active Transportation Program office of a previously designated state only funded project that will now receive federal funding. In addition, all amendments to the state only funding designation must be made prior to an allocation request for that project; and

- 2.4 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if available funding is less than assumed in the Fund Estimate, the Commission may be forced to delay or restrict allocations using interim allocation plans, or, if available funding proves to be greater than assumed, it may be possible to allocate funding to some projects earlier than the year programmed; and
- 2.5 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that Commission staff, in consultation with the Department and regional agencies, is authorized to make further technical changes in cost, schedules, and descriptions for projects in the 2019 Active Transportation Program – Metropolitan Planning Organization Component, consistent with the Fund Estimate, in order to reflect the most current information, or to clarify the Commission’s programming commitments, with report of any substantive changes back to the Commission for approval at a subsequent meeting.

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
FCOG	6-Fresno-1	Fresno	Connecting the Winchell Neighborhood, Butler/8th and Orange/Lowe Signals	\$ 1,251	\$ 1,108	\$ 87	\$ 31	-	\$ 990	\$ 1	\$ 86	\$ 31	\$ 990	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	89.75	86
FCOG	6-Fresno-4*	Fresno	Pathway to Play at Inspiration Park - Gettysburg/Polk Sidewalk/Signal	\$ 865	\$ 616	-	\$ 616	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 616	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	85.25	85
FCOG	6-Fresno-3*	Fresno	Southeast Fresno Cycle Track, First from Tulare to Ventura/Hazelwood	\$ 902	\$ 258	-	\$ 258	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 258	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	83	73
FCOG	6-Fresno County-1	Fresno	West Park Pedestrian Pathway	\$ 548	\$ 548	-	\$ 98	\$ 450	-	\$ 20	\$ 78	-	\$ 450	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	76.67	77
FCOG	6-Fresno-7*	Fresno	Pathway to Play at Calwa Park - Barton/Florence Sidewalks	\$ 361	\$ 288	\$ 17	\$ 79	-	\$ 192	\$ 1	\$ 16	\$ 79	\$ 192	-		Infrastructure - S	X		76	66
FCOG	6-Fresno-5	Fresno	Robinson Elementary Crossing Improvements	\$ 660	\$ 584	\$ 45	\$ 35	-	\$ 504	\$ 2	\$ 43	\$ 35	\$ 504	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	74	81
FCOG	6-Fresno-2*	Fresno	Mckenzie Trail Crossing Improvements	\$ 422	\$ 374	\$ 32	\$ 342	-	-	\$ 2	\$ 30	-	\$ 342	-		Infrastructure - S	X		73.75	73
FCOG	6-Fresno-6*	Fresno	Maple Avenue Cycle Track and Pedestrian Scramble	\$ 182	\$ 111	\$ 111	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 111	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	70.5	85
FCOG	6-Coalinga-1**	Fresno	Coalinga Perimeter Multi-Use Trail	\$ 952	\$ 885	\$ 100	\$ 125	-	\$ 660	\$ 100	\$ 65	\$ 60	\$ 660	-		Infrastructure - S	X		69.8	69
KCOG	6-Bakersfield-1	Kern	Friant-Kern Canal Multi-Use Path	\$ 8,200	\$ 4,306	-	-	-	\$ 4,306	-	-	-	\$ 4,306	-		Infrastructure-L	X		87	87
MTC	4-Alameda County TC-2	Alameda	Alameda County School Travel Opportunities Program	\$ 4,178	\$ 3,761	\$ 3,761	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 3,761	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	99	71
MTC	4-San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency-1	San Francisco	6th Street Pedestrian Safety Project	\$ 19,761	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 6,000	-	X	Infrastructure - L	X		92	81
MTC	4-Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District (SMART)-2 **	Sonoma	SMART Pathway- Santa Rosa-Rohnert Park and Penngrove Segments	\$ 13,802	\$ 12,574	\$ 1,817	\$ 10,757	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,817	\$ 12,574	-		Infrastructure - L	X	X	92	80
MTC	4-San Jose-1 *	Santa Clara	Willow-Keyes Complete Streets Improvements	\$ 19,649	\$ 12,926	-	-	-	\$ 12,926	-	-	-	\$ 12,926	-		Infrastructure - L	X	X	91	82
MTC	4-Albany-2	Alameda	Ohlone Greenway Trail Safety Improvements	\$ 665	\$ 410	\$ 410	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 410	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	88	74
MTC	4-Alameda County Public Works Department-12	Alameda	Active and Safe Oakland	\$ 999	\$ 999	\$ 999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 999	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	87	84

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
SACOG	3-Sacramento-2	Sacramento	Franklin Boulevard Complete Street Phase 2	\$ 12,591	\$ 1,880	\$ 1,880	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,000	\$ 880	-	-		Infrastructure - L	X		90	88
SACOG	3-Sacramento-1	Sacramento	Broadway Complete Streets Project - Phase 2	\$ 4,886	\$ 3,661	\$ 550	\$ 3,111	-	-	-	\$ 550	-	\$ 3,111	-		Infrastructure - M	X		84	86
SACOG	3-Sacramento County-4	Sacramento	Fern Bacon Middle School SRTS Improvements	\$ 990	\$ 872	-	\$ 224	\$ 648	-	-	\$ 83	\$ 141	\$ 598	\$ 50	X	Infrastructure + NI - S		X	81	85
SACOG	3-San Juan Unified School District-1	Sacramento	Three Steps to Safer Routes for Students	\$ 441	\$ 397	\$ 397	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 397	X	Non-Infrastructure		X	78	77
SACOG	3-Yuba County-2	Yuba	Cedar Lane Elementary SRTS Project	\$ 3,079	\$ 2,729	-	-	\$ 2,729	-	-	-	-	\$ 2,700	\$ 29	X	Infrastructure + NI - M	X	X	77	65
SACOG	3-Woodland-1	Yolo	W. Gibson Road SRTS Project	\$ 5,239	\$ 600	\$ 600	-	-	-	\$ 250	\$ 350	-	-	-	X	Infrastructure - M		X	77	74.5
SACOG	3-Citrus Heights-1	Sacramento	Auburn Boulevard Complete Streets – Phase 2	\$ 17,225	\$ 1,525	-	-	\$ 1,525	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,512	\$ 13		Infrastructure + NI - L		X	76	69
SANDAG	11-San Diego Association of Governments-4	San Diego	GObyBIKE San Diego: Education and Encouragement Start-Up Program	\$ 1,967	\$ 1,381	\$ 1,381	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,381		Non-Infrastructure	X		659	72
SANDAG	11-Escondido-1	San Diego	Escondido Creek Trail Transit Center Bicycle Path Improvements Project	\$ 827	\$ 747	-	\$ 747	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 747	-		Infrastructure - S	X		626.4	75
SANDAG	11-San Diego Association of Governments-1	San Diego	University Bikeway	\$ 16,825	\$ 8,561	-	-	\$ 8,561	-	-	-	-	\$ 8,561	-		Infrastructure - L	X		611.52	79
SANDAG	11-National City-1	San Diego	8th Street and Roosevelt Ave. Active Transportation Corridor, National City	\$ 6,991	\$ 5,185	-	-	-	\$ 5,185	-	-	-	\$ 5,185	-		Infrastructure - M	X		604.64	85
SJCOG	10-San Joaquin County-1	San Joaquin	Oro Avenue & Section Avenue Sidewalk Improvements	\$ 1,439	\$ 1,439	\$ 75	\$ 180	\$ 1,184	-	\$ 75	\$ 180	-	\$ 1,184	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	86.8	87
SJCOG	10-Stockton-4	San Joaquin	SRTS Sidewalk Gap Closure***	\$ 5,982	\$ 2,075	\$ 490	\$ 565	-	\$ 1,020	\$ 490	\$ 452	\$ 113	\$ 1,020	-		Infrastructure - M	X	X	85.2	85
SCAG	11-Imperial County-2	Imperial	Heffernan Avenue from 14th Street to 10th Street	\$ 727	\$ 642	\$ 87	\$ 44	\$ 511	-	\$ 8	\$ 79	\$ 44	\$ 511	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	91	71
SCAG	7-Huntington Park-1	Los Angeles	Huntington Park Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety and Connectivity Project	\$ 4,650	\$ 4,117	\$ 58	-	\$ 288	\$ 3,771	\$ 58	\$ 288	-	\$ 3,771	-	X	Infrastructure - M	X		99	89
SCAG	7-LA Bureau of Street Services (Engineering Division)-8	Los Angeles	Broadway-Manchester Active Transportation Equity Project	\$ 46,600	\$ 24,821	\$ 4,000	-	\$ 1,200	\$ 19,621	\$ 4,000	\$ 1,200	-	\$ 19,621	-		Infrastructure - L	X	X	99	89

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
SCAG	7-Los Angeles Department of Public Works (Bureau of Engineering)-7	Los Angeles	LA River Greenway, West San Fernando Valley Gap Closure	\$ 51,822	\$ 18,793	\$ 900	-	\$ 17,893	-	-	\$ 500	\$ 400	\$ 17,893	-	X	Infrastructure - L	X		99	89
SCAG	7-LA County Department of Public Works-3	Los Angeles	East LA Active Transportation Education and Encouragement Program	\$ 747	\$ 500	\$ 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X		89	84
SCAG	12-Costa Mesa-1	Orange	Merrimac Way Multipurpose Street, Sidewalk and Bicycle Facility Project	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,105	\$ 1,105	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,105	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	107	87
SCAG	12-Santa Ana-10	Orange	McFadden Avenue Protected Bike Lane and Bicycle Boulevard Project	\$ 6,999	\$ 6,999	\$ 1,124	\$ 5,875	-	-	\$ 102	\$ 1,022	-	\$ 5,875	-		Infrastructure - M	X		101	81
SCAG	12-Santa Ana-14	Orange	Standard Avenue Protected Bike Lane and Protected Intersection Project	\$ 6,666	\$ 6,666	\$ 1,222	\$ 5,444	-	-	\$ 122	\$ 1,100	-	\$ 5,444	-		Infrastructure - M	X		99.5	80.5
SCAG	12-Orange County Transportation Authority-2	Orange	Safe Travels Education Program (STEP) Campaign	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	94	74
SCAG	8-City of Palm Desert-1	Riverside	San Pablo Avenue Improvements from Fred Waring to Magnesia Falls	\$ 4,503	\$ 3,222	\$ 3,222	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 3,222	-	X	Infrastructure - M	X	X	106	86
SCAG	8-Riverside County Department of Public Health (Injury Prevention Services)-2	Riverside	Riverside County SRTS, Corona	\$ 580	\$ 325	-	\$ 325	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 325	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	86	86
SCAG	8-Riverside County Transportation Department-2	Riverside	El Toro Road-Dexter Avenue SRTS Sidewalk Project	\$ 2,311	\$ 2,311	\$ 50	\$ 410	-	\$ 1,851	\$ 50	\$ 330	\$ 80	\$ 1,763	\$ 88	X	Infrastructure + NI - M	X	X	87	77
SCAG	8-Lake Elsinore-3	Riverside	Murrieta Creek Multi-Use Trail - Palomar Trail to Lake Trail	\$ 5,079	\$ 5,079	\$ 365	\$ 350	\$ 460	\$ 3,904	\$ 365	\$ 350	\$ 460	\$ 3,904	-	X	Infrastructure - M			86	76
SCAG	8-Riverside County Department of Public Health (Injury Prevention Services)-3	Riverside	Riverside County SRTS Program, Desert Hot Springs	\$ 610	\$ 500	-	\$ 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	87	87
SCAG	8-Fontana-2	San Bernardino	Fontana SRTS Gap Closure	\$ 1,477	\$ 1,477	\$ 223	\$ 1,254	-	-	\$ 12	\$ 124	\$ 87	\$ 1,254	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	108	88
SCAG	8-Rialto-3	San Bernardino	Terra Vista Drive Neighborhood SRTS Infrastructure Implementation	\$ 663	\$ 663	\$ 20	\$ 60	\$ 583	-	\$ 20	\$ 60	-	\$ 583	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	107	87
SCAG	8-Twenty-nine Palms-1	San Bernardino	Twenty-nine Palms SRTS Infrastructure Implementation Grant	\$ 1,467	\$ 1,467	\$ 153	\$ 51	\$ 1,263	-	\$ 153	\$ 51	-	\$ 1,263	-		Infrastructure - S	X	X	107	87
SCAG	8-Rialto-1	San Bernardino	Safe Routes for Active Play, Work, and Live Rialto!	\$ 549	\$ 549	\$ 549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 549	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	106	86
SCAG	8-Ontario-1	San Bernardino	Pedestrian Improvements around Haynes, Vista Grande and Oaks Schools	\$ 6,998	\$ 5,764	\$ 841	\$ 4,923	-	-	-	-	\$ 841	\$ 4,767	\$ 156	X	Infrastructure + NI - M	X	X	104	84

**2019 Active Transportation Program
Metropolitan Planning Organization Component
Staff Recommendations**

Reference No.: 4.21
May 15-16, 2019
Attachment B

MPO	Application ID	County	Project Title	Total Project Cost	ATP Request	19-20	20-21	21-22	22-23	PA&ED	PS&E	ROW	CON	CON NI	SOF	Project Type	DAC	SRTS	Final Score	State Score
SCAG	8-San Bernardino Association of Government-2	San Bernardino	San Bernardino County SRTS Program	\$ 1,053	\$ 500	\$ 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 500	X	Non-Infrastructure	X	X	103	83
SCAG	SCAG	Various	SCAG 2019 Local Demonstration Initiative	\$ 2,599	\$ 2,599	\$ 2,599	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 2,599	X	Non-Infrastructure	X		N/A	N/A
SCAG	7-Ventura-1	Ventura	Active Transportation Mobility Plan	\$ 950	\$ 950	\$ 950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 950	X	Plan	X	X	88	68
SCAG	7-Oxnard-2	Ventura	Oxnard Boulevard Bikeway Gap Closure	\$ 860	\$ 860	\$ 98	\$ 762	-	-	-	\$ 98	-	\$ 762	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	83	63
SCAG	7-Ventura County-1	Ventura	Potrero Road Bike Lane Improvements – Phase 2	\$ 1,515	\$ 1,265	\$ 1,265	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,265	-	X	Infrastructure - S			78	68
SCAG	7-Thousand Oaks-1	Ventura	Los Feliz Sidewalk Phase 2	\$ 1,651	\$ 898	-	\$ 898	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 898	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	76	56
StanCOG	10-Stanislaus County-2	Stanislaus	Bret Harte Elementary Safe Crossing and Active Transportation Connectivity Project	\$ 3,005	\$ 2,402	-	-	-	\$ 2,402	-	-	-	\$ 2,380	\$ 22		Infrastructure +NI-M	X	X	86	86
StanCOG	10-Patterson-1	Stanislaus	Patterson - Citywide Active Transportation Plan	\$ 99	\$ 99	\$ 99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 99	X	Plan	X	X	79	79
TMPO	3-South Lake Tahoe-1	El Dorado	Lake Tahoe Boulevard Class I Bicycle Trail	\$ 3,025	\$ 744	-	\$ 744	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 744	-		Infrastructure - M	X	X	288.25	68
TCAG	6-Woodlake-1	Tulare	North Valencia Boulevard SRTS Extension, Gap Improvements	\$ 1,204	\$ 980	\$ 980	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 980	-	X	Infrastructure - S	X	X	100	77
TCAG	6-Tulare County-11	Tulare	County of Tulare: Road 160 Sidewalk Improvements, Ivanhoe	\$ 1,575	\$ 1,288	-	\$ 263	-	\$ 1,025	-	-	\$ 263	\$ 1,025	-		Infrastructure - M	X	X	96	80
			Totals	\$ 313,663	\$ 174,885	\$ 40,162	\$ 39,071	\$ 37,295	\$ 58,357											
* San Jose requested \$16,538 however \$12,926 was available for funding, a difference of \$3,612 remains.																				
* SMART requested \$27,498 for five segments; however \$12,574 is recommended for two segments due to lack of funds.																				
***SRTS Sidewalk Gap Closure requested \$5,384,000, however only \$2,075,000 of programming capacity remains. SJCOG Staff will work with the agency to ensure a fully funded project.																				

AGENDA ITEM 10

RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DATE:	May 20, 2019
TO:	Technical Advisory Committee
FROM:	Jenny Chan, Management Analyst
SUBJECT:	Obligation Delivery Plan Update – FFY 2018/19

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Receive and file.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

As the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA), RCTC is responsible for ensuring that federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) and Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) funds apportioned to Riverside County are allocated and obligated in a timely manner to prevent funds from lapsing. Federal Obligation Authority (OA) for the region is provided on an annual basis and has to be used in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) it is provided. The Commission’s goal is to ensure that 100 percent of its OA is obligated.

RCTC works closely with our local agencies and Caltrans to ensure projects on the Obligation Delivery Plan are obligated and delivered. Many of these projects are from the 2013 Multi-Funding Call for Projects, 2013 Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP a.k.a STBG) Call for Projects, CVAG’s 2014 CMAQ Call for Projects, and various other projects that had been awarded CMAQ or STBG funds by the Commission. The attached obligation plan provides an outline of the projects that have CMAQ or STBG programmed in FFY 2018/19. The information provided in the attached obligation plan comes from milestone updates received from your agencies, discussions with project sponsors, and our monthly meetings with local assistance.

It is recommended you begin your federal-aid process as soon as possible, and/or devote the resources needed to secure the federal approvals for obligation, ensuring the timely obligation of the federal funds. In the attached FFY 2018/19 Obligation Plan, these are the planned CMAQ and STBG obligations for the current year. If you anticipate a delay in obligating these funds this year, please notify RCTC staff with a project status update.

It is critical that local agencies awarded federal funds meet the milestones established to ensure local OA does not lapse. RCTC will be reviewing agreement dates and contacting agencies that have made little to no progress to discuss alternatives for the federal award. RCTC staff is available to assist cities with the processing of the RFA submittals and the overall navigation through the federal-aid process.

Attachment: Draft FFY 2018/19 Obligation Plan

May 2019 TAC - DRAFT 18/19 OBLIGATION PLAN

Agency	FTIP ID	FPN	Project Location	CMAQ 18/19	STPL 18/19	PA&ED	R/W	Status
						Completion Date	Clearance Completion Date	
RCTC	RIV151221	HP21STPL-6054(082)	Pachappa Underpass (SR91 HOV Remnant Work)		\$ 10,744,000	6/29/2016		Review
RCTC	RIV170901	CMLN 6054(094)	I-15 Southern Extension	\$ 29,828,000				Obligated
Riverside County	RIV071288	TBD	Ave 66 Grade Separation	-	\$ 12,110,000	N/A		
Riverside	RIV151216	STPL 5058(102)	Magnolia Ave from Buchanan to Banbury (Widening 4 -6 Ins)		\$ 2,620,000	1/25/2018	6/6/2018	
Riverside County	RIV151210	CML 5956(241)	Salt Creek Multi-Modal Trail	\$ 5,090,000		10/20/2017		
Sunline	RIV190606		FTA Transfer	\$ 1,000,000				Review
Sunline	RIV190607		FTA Transfer	\$ 1,700,000				Review
				\$ 37,618,000	\$ 25,474,000			

AGENDA ITEM 11

**2019 FTIP
AMENDMENT/ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION SCHEDULE**

Due Date (by Noon)	Amendments	Administrative Modifications
Tuesday, July 17, 2018	Amendment #19-01	Including 2018 STIP, 2018 SHOPP, HBP and changes to address comments received on Draft 2019 FTIP only. Concurrent with 2019 FTIP base
Tuesday, October 23, 2018		Administrative Modification #19-02
Thursday, November 1, 2018	2020 RTP/SCS FTIP Amendment #19-99 (will become #19-11)	CONSISTENCY AMENDMENT FOR MODELING ONLY (New and updates to existing modeled projects -- only scope or completion date)
Thursday, January 8, 2019	Amendment #19-03	
Tuesday, February 12, 2019		Emergency Admin Mod #19-04 (SBCTA/VCTC)
Tuesday, February 26, 2019		Administrative Modification #19-05
Tuesday, March 20, 2019	Emergency Amendment #19-06 (SBCTA)	
Tuesday, April 9, 2019	Amendment #19-07	
Tuesday, May 21, 2019		Administrative Modification #19-08
Tuesday, July 9, 2019	Amendment #19-09	
Tuesday, August 6, 2019		Administrative Modification #19-10
Tuesday, September 10, 2019	Amendment #19-11**	2020 RTP/SCS -- projects in this amendment cannot be modified until the 2020 RTP/SCS is approved in June 2020

AGENDA ITEM 12

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 12.

AGENDA ITEM 13

A presentation will be made but there is no attachment to the agenda for item 13.