

**RESPONSE TO WRITTEN QUESTIONS
ESTABLISHMENT OF A PRE-QUALIFIED, RANKED LIST OF
UP TO THREE (3) CONSULTANT TEAMSTO PROVIDE
ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES REQUIRED FOR THE
PREPARATION OF SEPARATE PROJECT REPORTS (PRs), ENVIRONMENTAL
DOCUMENTS (EDs), AND POSSIBLE FINAL DESIGN (PS&E) FOR PROPOSED
IMPROVEMENTS TO STATE HIGHWAYS IN WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY.
Questions Received by Thursday, 9/10/09**

Question No. 1:

Is there a plan holders list available for this RFQ?

Answer:

No, there is not a plan holders list for this RFQ. RCTC has received a letter of intent from Jacobs, MTS, and CNC Engineering. RCTC will update and post a list of firms who have submitted a letter of intent as it receives them.

Question No. 2:

Can you provide the names of the three firms that are currently on the pre-qualified list?

Answer:

The Commission has entered into contracts with URS. The three remaining firms are Jacobs, TRC Solutions, and Robert Bein Frost.

Question No. 3:

Does the RFQ for Transportation, Environmental and Engineering Professionals include landscape architecture?

Answer:

Yes, landscape architecture is required for Project Reports (PR) & Environmental Documents (ED) as well as Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E).

Question No. 4:

Please clarify in the RFQ: Page 18 item 3 b (7), indicates describing an approach and work plan for PS&E. Exhibit A page A-2 indicates that the offeror should submit information regarding the PS&E development phase in the Appendix. Per the RFQ, the Appendix section was to contain only information that was not specifically solicited in the SOQ Format and Content.

Should the PS&E relevant information be included in the main body of the proposal (ie. Proposed Staffing and Project Organization) rather than the Appendix.

Answer:

Project Report (PR) & Environmental Documents (ED) qualifications and approach should be included in the main body of the proposal. Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) qualifications and approach should be included in the Appendix Section.

Question No. 5

Last year RCTC released a similar RFQ for the 2009 Measure A Delivery Plan Consulting Services. In the 2008 RFQ page 19, RCTC included the SOQ documentation content for Jacobs Engineering and URS Corporation since the two firms were on the Consultant List at that time. Since TRC is on the current Consultant List, may we submit our SOQ documentation in a similar manner instead of the 75 page SOQ?

Answer:

"RCTC will issue an Addendum to clarify".

Question No. 6

RFQ page 20, Section III SOQ Content, Item 7 Federal Provisions and DBE Notice to Offerors – Exhibit C. This section requires a number of forms, with the number of pages varying depending on the number of subconsultant firms and whether they are DBE. We request that RCTC clarify the RFQ to indicate that the response to Exhibit C forms is not included in the 75 page count limit.

Answer:

Forms contained in Exhibit "C" shall be included in the appendices section and will not count toward the 75 page limit.

Question No. 7

RFQ page 20, Section III SOQ Content, Item 1 Format. This section indicates that charts and schedules 11" x 17" format. We recommend that RCTC clarify the SOQ to indicate that a 11" x 17" format page with charts or schedule counts as a single page toward the 75 page limit.

Answer:

RFQ page 16, Section III SOQ Content, Item 1 Format. Charts and schedules in 11" x 17" format will count as two pages toward the 75 page limit.