



Riverside County Transportation Commission

To: Potential Offerors

I-215 Widening, Murrieta Hot Springs to Scott, Project
RCTC Agreement No. 10-31-079-00

Date: March 25, 2010

Subject: RFQ No. 10-31-079-00
I-215 Widening, Murrieta Hot Springs Road to Scott Road, Project
Response to Questions – Set No. 1

The following questions were received by the stated deadline for requests for clarification, or 2:00 p.m. on March 12, 2010, concerning the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) No. 10-31-079-00. Commission responses below are being provided for purposes of clarification only and do not revise, change, or qualify any information contained in the RFQ. The RFQ was issued on 2/22/10 and the Statements of Qualifications are due from offerors on 3/31/10.

Questions received prior to 2:00 p.m. on 3/12/10:

Question No. 1:

Please delineate the specific details of the three services you are looking for, such as the type and scope of the project or projects to be managed, the scope of the materials testing and the scope of the surveying that is expected.

Answer No. 1:

Please review the detailed scope of work that has been provided as Exhibit 'A' to this RFQ. The RFQ has been posted on the Commission website at <http://www.rctc.org/rfps.asp>.

Question No. 2:

Will the work be performed under the terms of a cost-plus fixed fee contract as mentioned on Page 11? Isn't that more of a design services contract type?

Answer No. 2:

The resultant contract, if awarded, will be a cost plus fixed fee type agreement. The Commission has had ample experience and success utilizing cost plus fixed fee contracts for professional services of this type. See RFQ Model Agreement (RFQ Exhibit 'B') for further detail.

Question No. 3:

On page 13 of the RFQ, SOQ Format and Content, paragraph 3 Technical Proposal, part (a)(3), the Commission asks offerors to describe their experience working with, among other entities, the "City of Perris or other cities in the Inland Empire." Is working with the City of Perris important?

Answer No. 3:

Experience working with the City of Perris is not of any specific relevance, as the Project is in the City of Murrieta. Prior work with a local city, however, may be relevant insofar as it describes your firm's "experience in working with public agencies similar to RCTC in nature and/or responsibilities..."

Question No. 4:

The RFQ requires offerors to provide a field office. The Draft Engineers Estimate provided on CD includes \$200K for construction contractor to provide RE Office. Please confirm that the CM firm is to provide a field office.

Answer No. 4:

Yes. The successful offeror will be responsible for providing a field office per RFQ Exhibit "A", Scope of Work, Equipment and Materials to be Provided by Offeror, page A-18.

Question No. 5:

Draft plans include structures plan sheets 1 of 12 and 4 of 12, but no other structures plans were provided.

Answer No. 5:

Sheets 1 and 4 are provided on the CD described in RFQ Exhibit "A", Scope of Work, Background Information, page A-2. The other structural plans are details for the Keller Road Undercrossing structure closure. These plans are not available to offerors for review.

Again, this item is provided for informational purposes only and offerors are not required to acknowledge this item in their respective submittals.

Sincerely,



Gregory Moore
Procurement and Assets Manager
Riverside County Transportation Commission